IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

FAO No. 315-M of 2005
Decided on : 13.11.2014

Vijay Pal

. . . Appellant

Versus

Sumitra

. . . Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RAJ RAHUL GARG

PRESENT: None for the appellant.

Ms. Pratibha Yadav, Advocate
for the respondent.

****

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

On the last date of hearing as well, none had appeared on behalf of the appellant.

2. Even today, despite the case having been called twice, no one has put in appearance on behalf of the appellant. It appears that the appellant is no more interested in pursuing the litigation.

3. Dismissed for want of prosecution.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(RAJ RAHUL GARG)
JUDGE

November 13, 2014
J.Ram

JAWALA RAM
2014.11.17 14:12
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh